

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE TWENTY FIFTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO : 10516 of 2004

Between:

Smt. Sowbhagyamma W/o Ramanjaneyulu, Sarpanch,
Thumukunta village, Hindupur Mandal, Ananthpaur District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

- 1 The collector (PW) Ananthapur Dist. at Ananthapur
- 2 The District Panchayat Officer, Ananthapur
- 3 The Divisional Panchayat Officer, Penukonda, Ananthapur District

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ or writs, order or direction, declaring the proceedings dated 12-9-2003 in Roc. No.3421/2003-A1 (Pts) on the file of the second respondent herein, as illegal, arbitrary, without Jurisdiction and violative of principles of natural justice.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR. N. RANGA REDDY, Advocate

**Counsel for the Respondents: The GP for Panchayat Raj & Rural
Development.**

The Court at the admission made the following :

ORDER:

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Panchayat Raj and Rural Development and with their consent the writ petition itself is taken for disposal, at the admission stage.

In this writ petition the petitioner seeks to declare the proceedings of the second respondent-District Panchayat Officer, Ananthapur in Roc.No.3421/2003-A1 (Pts), dated 12-9-2003, as illegal and arbitrary.

The petitioner alleges that he is Sarpanch of Thumukunta village, Hindupur Mandal of Ananthapur village. By the impugned proceedings, the second respondent withdrew cheque powers of the petitioner and against the said proceedings, he filed a revision petition before the first respondent on 16-3-2004. It is alleged that the first respondent has neither disposed of the revision nor granted any interim orders therein. Hence, the writ petition.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and in view of the fact that the revision petition filed by the petitioner is pending before the first respondent, without going into the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to direct the first respondent to dispose of the revision petition filed by the petitioner on 16-3-2004 against the proceedings of the second respondent in Roc.No.3421/2003-A1, dated 12-9-2003, expeditiously within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, if not already disposed of.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

N.V. RAMANA, J

Date: 25-06-2004

SVV

To

- 1 The collector (PW), Ananthapur District, at Ananthapur
- 2 The District Panchayat Officer, Ananthapur
- 3 The Divisional Panchayat Officer, Penukonda, Ananthapur District
- 4 Two C.Cs to GP for Panchayat Raj & Rural Development, High Court buildings, Hyderabad. (OUT).
- 5 Two C.Ds.